Neural Word Embeddings

Roberto Basili, Danilo Croce Machine Learning, Deep Learning, 2023/2024

Outline

- **Language Modeling: recall**
- **Lexical Acquisition: recall**
- **Use of Neural Networks for the Learning of language models:** inducing vs. counting
- The CBOW and Skip-gram model
- **Computational Tricks**
- **Applications of word embeddings to Language Processing**

Neural Networks

- **Powerful and flexible Machine Learning algorithm**
- **They can learn highly non linear functions and** learn complex concepts
	- **difficult to train until 2006 with the Deep Learning** movement
- One of the key elements of Deep Learning is the use of pre-training techniques

Pre-training

- **NNs are known to model non-linear classification functions**
- **The main difficulty is that NN cost functions are not convex**
	- **high probability of stopping in a local minimum**
- **Pre-training is a technique to initialize the network** parameters
	- \blacksquare in a way that they are nearer to the global minimum
	- **•** or at least in a better region of the cost function surface

Pre-training

- **Pre-training can be obtained through**
	- **Auto-Encoders**
	- Restricted Boltzmann Machines
	- **Training with other data (e.g. heuristically annotated data)**
- In NLP, often a form of pre-training is obtained by adopting Word Embeddings
	- **a** a *d*-dimensional space representing words
	- **E** each word vector encodes in its dimensions useful information to drive the learning process

Word representations in NNs

- **Word vectors are related also to fighting the "curse of** dimensionality" of standard word representations
- In a BOW model, the greater the vocabulary size the more examples you need to learn all the relevant variations of each feature
- **If we know, that two words are similar given a dense vector** representation of them
	- **we could not observe all the necessary variations of the data**
	- **but instead we could rely on the similarity to make similar inferences** during training

Language Models

- A model of how the words behave and interact in a language when forming sentences **12 3 2 3 () CONCREV**

1 the words behave and interact in

1 interact in the words behave and interact in

1 interact interact probability of a sentence
 $P(W) = P(w_1, w_2, w_3, ..., w_n)$

1 to output these quantities is a Lar
- **Probabilistic Language Modeling for**
	- **Compute the probability of a sentence**

 $P(W) = P(w_1, w_2, w_3, ..., w_n)$

E compute the probability of the upcoming word

 $P(w_1 | w_1, w_2, w_3)$

- **A** model trained to output these quantities is a Language Model
	- In Machine Translation is adopted to rank different possible translations of a given sentence
	- In Speech Recognition is adopted to rank different transcription hypotheses

Language Models

- \blacksquare How to compute $P(W)$
	- Chain rule $P(W) = P(w_1, w_2, w_3, ..., w_n) = \prod P(w_n | w_1, w_2, ..., w_{n-1})$

E

 $P("John kills Mary with a knife") =$

 $P(John) \times P("kill's" | "john") \times P("Mary" | "kill's", "John") \times P("with" | "Mary", "kill's", "John")$

i

- How to estimate these quantities?
	- count the occurrences of sequences of words
	- **The affected by the problem of "curse of dimensionality"**
	- a sequence will be observed few times
- **Traditional solution**
	- adopt Markov assumption and count *n*-grams
	- P("with"|"Mary", "kills", "John") or with bi-grams P("with"|"Mary", "kills",)

Neural Networks and LM

- How do LM relates to word representations?
- **Parameters estimation can be done in a NN architecture**
- **Film the target NN is expected to learn jointly:**
	- \blacksquare **the parameters of the probability function**
	- **Example 3 are presentation of the words**
- **The vectors representing words captures different aspects of** the word meaning by:
	- **namaries** making similar words near in the space
	- \blacksquare helping the fight against the "curse of dimensionality"

Why it should work?

- **For example, given the two sentences**
	- *The cat is walking in the bedroom*
	- *A dog was running in a room*
- If we know that the pairs *(cat, dog), (is,was) (walking,running), (bedroom, room)* are similar

■ we could try to compute that the two sentences are similar

- **If means that we rely on the similarity of words and not on the** occurrence of a specific pattern
- **this helps in fighting the curse of dimensionality**

A neural probabilistic language model (Bengio et al, 2003)

 \blacksquare Training set is a sequence of words w_1 , ..., w_T in a vocabulary V

The objective is to learn a mapping

$$
f(\mathbf{w}_{t}, \cdots, \mathbf{w}_{t-n+1}) = P(\mathbf{w}_{t} | \mathbf{w}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{t-1})
$$

- Decompose the function f in two components
	- A mapping C from any element i of V to a real vector $C(i) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. It represents the *feature vectors* associated with each word in the vocabulary.
	- \blacksquare The probability function over words, expressed with C

(Bengio et al., 2003): the idea

- **The general idea behind the very first neural approach to** Language Modeling corresponds to the following three steps:
	- Associate with each word in the vocabulary a distributed word feature vector (a real-valued vector in *R^m*),
	- **Express the joint probability function of word sequences in terms of the** feature vectors of these words in the sequence, and
	- **Learn simultaneously** both notions:
		- **the word feature vectors as a matrix of lexical feature vectors and**
		- **The parameters that corresponds to the NN that estimate the** probability function of the language model.

The model

A function g maps an input sequence, $(C(w_{t-n+1}), \cdots, C(w_{t-1}))$, to a conditional probability distribution over words in V for the next word w_t.

$$
f(i, w_{t-1}, ..., w_{t-n+1}) = g(i, C(w_{t-1}), ..., C(w_{t-n+1}))
$$

- **The function g is realized through a neural network with** parameters ω
- **The matrix behind the C mapping is learnt during the training** process
- **The whole parameters set is thus** (C, ω)

The model: training

Training maximize the training corpus penalized log-likelihood $\begin{aligned} \text{if } \mathsf{r} & \text{if } \mathsf{r} \text{ is } \mathsf{r} \text{ is the } \mathsf{f} & \text{if } \mathsf{r} \text{ is the } \mathsf{f} & \text{if } \mathsf{r} \text{ is the } \mathsf{f} & \text{if } \mathsf{r} \text{ is the } \mathsf{f} & \text{if } \mathsf{r} \text{ is the } \mathsf{f} & \text{if } \mathsf{r} \text{ is the } \mathsf{f} & \text{if } \mathsf{r} \text{ is the } \mathsf{f} & \text{if } \mathsf{r} \text{ is the } \mathsf{f} &$

$$
L = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} \log f(w_t, w_{t-1}, ..., w_{t-n+1}; \theta) + R(\theta)
$$

How the probabilities in the output layer are computed?

$$
P(w_t|w_{t-1},...,w_{t-n+1}) = \frac{e^{y_{w_t}}}{\sum_i e^{y_i}}
$$

■ where: $y = b + Wx + U \tanh(d + Hx)$
 $x = (C(w_{t-1}), C(w_{t-2}), ..., C(w_{t-n+1}))$ $y = b + Wx + U \tanh(d + Hx)$ =

The model: details

What about co-occurrences?

- **In previous lessons we studied co-occurrence** based models
- We have seen that co-occurrences modeling works very well to generalize the meaning of words in compact vector representations

A co-occurrence matrix

What about co-occurrences?

- We have seen that co-occurrences modeling works very well to generalize the meaning of words in compact vector representations
- **Can we think a NN modeling how the language works** and jointly accounting for the co-occurrences?
	- **TYES**

CBOW and Skip-gram (Mikolov et al, 2013)

- **Mikolov and colleagues proposed two NN based models** that accounts for co-occurrences in the learning of word vectors
- CBOW (*Contextual Bag-Of-Word*)
	- **nodel the co-occurrences in the input to a neural network**
- Skip-gram
	- \blacksquare model the co-occurrences in the output of a neural network

(Mikolov et al., 2013)

Figure 1: New model architectures. The CBOW architecture predicts the current word based on the context, and the Skip-gram predicts surrounding words given the current word.

CBOW

- **Contextual Bag-of-Words model**
- **TASK: Given a context, predict the word within that context**
- **Each word is represented with a distributed representation**
	- a *d*-dimensional vector
- **The learning process makes similar the representations of similar** words
- **How**

CBOW architecture

- \blacksquare x_{1k} , \ldots , x_{Ck} is a context
	- \blacksquare each x_{ij} is mapped into a vector
	- **the vectors are contained in the** matrix W (as rows)
- \blacksquare h_i maps the input context into a hidden compact representation
	- **n** in this case is the mean of the context vectors
- \blacksquare in the output layer the network is expected to compute a probability distribution
	- the probability of the correct word in a context should be higher

CBOW architecture

- **The matrix containing the word** vectors (W) are induced during the training of the network
- **If two words share many contexts** during training their representations will be similar
	- \blacksquare as their similar contexts will be forced to reconstruct either one or the other
- **The training process will be** directed to optimizing the loglikelihood of recovering the correct y_i given its context.

Skip-gram

- The same principle as CBOW, but
- \blacksquare the input layer contains one word w_i
- **n** in the output layer the context words of w_i will be predicted
- Again, the word vectors are learned during training
- **The training process will maximize the** log-likelihood of recovering the correct context given a target word
	- On the output layer, we are outputting C distributions
	- Each output is computed using the same hidden → output matrix

Skip-gram details

After a forward step, in the output layer we want to obtain the probability distribution of the context words

$$
p(w_{c,j} = w_{o,c} \mid w_I) = y_{c,j} = \frac{\exp(u_{c,j})}{\sum_{j'} \exp(u_{j'})}
$$

- $w_{c,i}$ is the j-th word on the c-th panel
- $w_{0,c}$ is the actual c-th word in the context (gold standard)
- \bullet w_I is the input word
- $y_{c,i}$ is the output of the j-th unit on the c-th panel
- u_{ci} is the net input of the j-th unit on the c-th panel
- **The objective function is thus the probability of recovering all** the context words given the target

$$
E = -\log p(w_{0,1}, w_{0,2}, ..., w_{0,c} | w_i) = -\log \prod_c \frac{\exp(u_{c,j})}{\sum_j \exp(u_{j})}
$$

Skip-gram and CBOW

- CBOW model averages over the context in the input; it "smooths" the original distributional statistics
	- **i** it is a sort of regularization, as the model learns from a "corrupted" input
- The Skip-gram model does not; it needs more data but it doesn't modify the input
	- **qiven that you have enough data, the Skip-gram model generally learns better** vectors
- **Both learns word vectors as a supervised process**
	- **•** however the input are raw texts, i.e. there is no need of a real supervision!
- They can be implemented very efficiently, and can produce word vectors starting from corpora of million of words
	- a couple of optimization techniques makes the learning process very fast.

Speed optimizations

E Are meant to avoid the full computation/update of parameters at each iteration

Hierarchical Softmax

- **If** it's a technique to avoid the full computation of the output layer (which can potentially contain millions of neurons)
- **The hierarchical softmax uses a binary tree representation of** the output layer
	- **If the words in the vocabulary are the leaves**
	- **for each leaf, there exists a unique path from the root to the unit**
	- **If** this path is used to estimate the probability of the word represented by the leaf unit

Speed optimizations

Negative sampling

- \blacksquare in the softmax operation we should compute the output vectors for all the words in the vocabulary (the denominator)
- **to avoid this computation just a sampling of the words are** adopted
- **This sampling is "negative", as the chosen words are selected** from the words that should not be "similar", i.e. they are not in the context of the target in the Skip-gram model

What does Skip-gram or CBOW learns?

Semantically related words

What does Skip-gram or CBOW learns?

Word Embedding Semantics

(slide from cs224n-2017-lecture3 by Socher)

Nearest words to frog:

- 1. frogs
- 2. toad
- 3. litoria
- 4. leptodactylidae
- 5. rana
- 6. lizard
- 7. eleutherodactylus

litoria

leptodactylidae

eleutherodactylus

eleutherodactylus

rana

rana

What does Skip-gram or CBOW learns?

Other (meaningful) relationships

What does Skip-gram or CBOW learns?

What we haven't touched

- **FastText: using subword information**
	- <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/Q17-1010.pdf>
	- <https://github.com/facebookresearch/fastText>
	- Embedding N-grams as features
	- **Nords as sequences of features**
- Sentence embeddings:
	- Doc2Vec
		- Quoc Le and Tomas Mikolov: "Distributed Representations of Sentences and Documents'', 2014; <u>arXiv:1405.4053</u>.
	- **InferSent**
		- Alexis Conneau, Douwe Kiela, Holger Schwenk, Loic Barrault: "Supervised Learning of Universal Sentence Representations from Natural Language Inference Data'', 2017; <u>[arXiv:1705.02364](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.02364)</u>.
- **Language Independent embeddings**
	- **Neural embedding as a Multiple task learning**
	- **Subwords as core shared basis for multiple languages**

Using word embeddings

from (Conneau et al, 2017)

Evolution of neural models of the lexicon

- **Fich From word to sentence embeddings**
	- **Train NNs about the task of combining words to embed** sentences
	- Character (instead of word) embeddings
- **Contextual pretraining**
	- Attempt to made embeddings better capturing differences in contextual use, aka senses
	- **Multiple biLSTMs (ELMo, 2017)**
- Adopting bidirectional transformers, BERT (2018)
	- **Pretraining: Bidirectional Transformers for LM**
	- **Pretraining: Masking**
	- Fine-tuning: Sentence prediction tasks

Differences in recent approaches

Figure 1: Differences in pre-training model architectures. BERT uses a bidirectional Transformer. OpenAI GPT uses a left-to-right Transformer. ELMo uses the concatenation of independently trained left-to-right and rightto-left LSTM to generate features for downstream tasks. Among three, only BERT representations are jointly conditioned on both left and right context in all layers.

Summary

- **Model language related problems with NN**
	- **Fighting the curse of dimensionality with distributional representations of words**
- Exploit the flexibility of Neural Networks for
	- **transforming an unsupervised process into a supervised one**
	- **Compute efficiently new representations**
- **The CBOW and Skip-gram models are not related to Deep Learning**
	- they have nothing of a deep architecture
- **However**
	- they emerged in the Deep Learning "era"
	- **they are adopted as a form of pre-training of Deep Architectures for NLP** problems

References

- (Bengio et al, 2003): Yoshua Bengio, Réjean Ducharme, Pascal Vincent, and Christian Janvin. 2003. [A neural probabilistic language model.](http://www.jmlr.org/papers/volume3/bengio03a/bengio03a.pdf) J. Mach. Learn. Res. 3 (March 2003), 1137-1155.
- Mikolov, T.; Chen, K.; Corrado, G. & Dean, J. (2013), Efficient Estimation of Word Representations in Vector Space, CoRR abs/1301.3781.
- **The Tomas Mikolov, Wen-tau Yih, Geoffrey Zweig: Linguistic Regularities in Continuous** Space Word Representations. HLT-NAACL 2013: 746-751
- **The Tomas Mikolov, Ilya Sutskever, Kai Chen, Gregory S. Corrado, Jeffrey Dean:** [Distributed Representations of Words and Phrases and their Compositionality](http://papers.nips.cc/paper/5021-distributed-representations-of-words-and-phrases-and-their-compositionality.pdf). NIPS 2013: 3111-3119
- [Word2Vec parameters learning explained](http://www-personal.umich.edu/~ronxin/pdf/w2vexp.pdf)