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## Introduction to DL: Outline

- Representation Learning in Deep Learning Architectures
- MLP and non linearity
- History and types of NNs:
- Multilayer Perceptrons
- Autoencoders
- Convolutional NNs
- Recurrent Neural Networks: Long Short Term Memories
- Attentive networks
- Training a Neural Network
- Stochastic Gradient Descent
- The Backpropagation algorithm


## Artificial Intelligence: the pendulum

- "A physical symbol system has the necessary and sufficient means for general intelligent action.
- Symbols are Luminiferous Aether of Al
--Allen Newell \& Herbert Simon



## Neural Networks, Connectionism and Deep Learning


very high level representation:
MAN SITTING ...
$\uparrow$
... etc ...
A
slightly higher level representation

raw input vector representation:


## Show \& Tell in italiano

## Current work at UniTV (Croce, Masotti \& Basili,


(a) im2txt+translation: Un gioca-(b) im2txt+translation: Una tore di baseball che oscilla una grande torre dell'orologio che mazza ad una sfera, Italian model: sovrasta una città, Italian model: Un Un giocatore di baseball che tiene grande edificio con un orologio sulla una mazza da baseball su un campo. parte superiore.


## A bit of history ...

[- McCollouch \& Pitts 1943 - The logic of the MCP ( $\approx$ Perceptron), through early electronics

- Hebb 1942 - Associative Memories: adaptive storage
- Rosenblatt, 1958 - Perceptron \& on-line learning algorithm
- Minsky \& Papert, 1969 - mathematical limits of the perceptron
- Rumelhart et al., 1986, McClelland et al., 1995 Backpropagation, Distributed representations
- LSTSMs -Hochreiter \& Schmidhuber 1997
- Le Cun et al., 1998 - Convolutional Nets
- Hinton et al., 2006 - Deep Belief nets (autoencoders)
- Bengio et al., 2007 - Depth vs. Breadth in NNs
- Nair \& Hinton, 2010 - further training support (e.g. RLU)

- Hinton, 2012 - Dropout

Table 1: Major milestones that will be covered in this paper

| Year | Contributer | Contribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 BC | Aristotle | introduced Associationism, started the history of human's attempt to understand brain. |
| 1873 | Alexander Bain | introduced Neural Groupings as the earliest models of neural network, inspired Hebbian Learning Rule. |
| 1943 | McCulloch \& Pitts | introduced MCP Model, which is considered as the ancestor of Artificial Neural Model. |
| 1949 | Donald Hebb | considered as the father of neural networks, introduced Hebbian Learning Rule, which lays the foundation of modern neural network. |
| 1958 | Frank Rosenblatt | introduced the first perceptron, which highly resembles modern perceptron. |
| 1974 | Paul Werbos | introduced Backpropagation |
| 1980 | Teuvo Kohonen | introduced Self Organizing Map |
|  | Kunihiko Fukushima | introduced Neocogitron, which inspired Convolutional Neural Network |
| 1982 | John Hopfield | introduced Hopfield Network |
| 1985 | Hilton \& Sejnowski | introduced Boltzmann Machine |
| 1986 | Paul Smolensky | introduced Harmonium, which is later known as Restricted Boltzmann Machine |
|  | Michael I. Jordan | defined and introduced Recurrent Neural Network |
| 1990 | Yann LeCun | introduced LeNet, showed the possibility of deep neural networks in practice |
| 1997 | Schuster \& Paliwal | introduced Bidirectional Recurrent Neural Network |
|  | Hochreiter \& Schmidhuber | introduced LSTM, solved the problem of vanishing gradient in recurrent neural networks |
| 2006 | Geoffrey Hinton | introduced Deep Belief Networks, also introduced layer-wise pretraining technique, opened current deep learning era. |
| 2009 | Salakhutdinov \& Hinton | introduced Deep Boltzmann Machines |
| 2012 | Geoffrey Hinton | introduced Dropout, an efficient way of training neural networks |

## Connections per Neuron



1. Adaptive linear element (Widrow and Hoff, 1960)
2. Neocognitron (Fukushima, 1980)
3. GPU-accelerated convolutional network (Chellapilla et al., 2006)
4. Deep Boltzmann machine (Salakhutdinov and Hinton, 2009a)
5. Unsupervised convolutional network (Jarrett et al., 2009)
6. GPU-accelerated multilayer perceptron (Ciresan et al., 2010)
7. Distributed autoencoder (Le et al., 2012)
8. Multi-GPU convolutional network (Krizhevsky et al., 2012)
9. COTS HPC unsupervised convolutional network (Coates et al., 2013)
10. GoogLeNet (Szegedy et al., 2014a)
from Goodfellow et al., DL MIT book

## Machine Learning: in search of good functions

- Model and Learning

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=f^{*}(\vec{x}) \\
& f^{*}(\vec{x}) \approx h(\vec{x})=g(\vec{x} ; \vec{\theta}) \\
& \quad \text { suchthat } \forall \vec{x}_{l} \in \mathrm{~L} \quad h\left(\vec{x}_{l}\right) \approx y_{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Linear models

$$
h(\vec{x})=g\left(\sum_{n} \theta_{n} x_{n}+b\right)
$$

## Perceptron (Rosenblatt, 1958)

- Linear Classifier mimicking a neuron



## The role of Representation

Cartesian coordinates


X

Polar coordinates

$r$

The quintessential example of a representation learning algorithm is the autoencoder. An autoencoder is the combination of an encoder function, which converts the input data into a different representation, and a decoder function, which converts the new representation back into the original format. Autoencoders

## Representation and Learning: the role of depth



## Adding Layers ...

- From simple linear laws ...

$$
h(\vec{x})=g(\vec{x} ; \vec{\theta}, b)=g\left(\sum_{n} \theta_{n} x_{n}+b\right)
$$



- to feedforward structures. It can be made de endent on a sequence of functions $g(1)$ and $g(2), \ldots, g(k)$, that give rise to a structured hypothesis:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(\vec{x}) & =g^{(2)}\left(g^{(1)}\left(\widehat{x} ; \vec{\theta}^{(1)}, b^{(1)}\right) ; \vec{\theta}^{(2)}, b^{(2)}\right)= \\
& =g^{(2)}\left(W^{(2)} g^{(1)}\left(W^{(1)} \vec{x}+b^{(1)}\right)+b^{(2)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Hidden layers

$$
h^{(1)}(\vec{x})=g^{(1)}\left(W^{(1)} \vec{x}+b^{(1)}\right)
$$

In our example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W^{(1)} \text { is a } 3 \times 3 \text { matrix } \\
& W^{(2)} \text { is a } 3 \times 1 \text { matrix }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Adding Layers ...

- From simple linear laws ...

$$
h(\vec{x})=g(\vec{x} ; \vec{\theta}, b)=g\left(\sum_{n} \theta_{n} x_{n}+b\right)
$$

- to feedforward structures. They depend on a sequence of functions $g^{(1)}, g^{(2)}, \ldots, g^{(k)}$ that give rise to structured hypothesis

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(\vec{x}) & \left.=g^{(k)}\left(g^{(k-1)}\left(\ldots g^{(1)}\left(\vec{x} ; \vec{\theta}^{(1)}, b^{(1)}\right) ; \ldots\right) ; \vec{\theta}^{(k-1)}, b^{(k-1)}\right) ; \vec{\theta}^{(k)}, b^{(k)}\right)= \\
& =g^{(k)}\left(W^{(k)} g^{(k-1)}\left(W^{(k-1)} \ldots g^{(1)}\left(W^{(1)} \vec{x}+b^{(1)}\right) \ldots+b^{(k-1)}\right)+b^{(k)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Hidden layers

$$
h^{(j)}(\vec{x})=g^{(j)}\left(W^{(j)} g^{(j-1)}\left(\vec{x} ; \vec{\theta}^{(j-1)}, b^{(j-1)}\right)+b^{(j)}\right) \quad j=1, \ldots, k-1
$$

## Neural Networks

- Each circle represent a neuron (or unit)
- 3 input, 3 hidden and 1 output
- $n_{l}=3$ is the number of layers
- $S_{l}$ denotes the number of units in layer 1
- Layers:
- The first layer, i.e. the layer 1 , is denoted as $\mathrm{L}_{1}$
- Layer 1 and $1+1$ are connected by a matrix $W^{(1)}$ parameters

- $W^{(I)}{ }_{i, j}$ connects the $j$-th neuron in layer $I$ with the $i$-th neuron in layer I+1
- $b^{(l)}{ }_{i}$ is the bias associated to neuron $i$ in layer $l+1$


## Forward Step: classification

input layer


## Forward Step: training

 input layer

## Demystifying Neural Networks

- The discriminating law is a linear function such as:
- $h_{\vec{w}, b}(\vec{x})=g(\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x}+b) \quad$ with
- Activation Function, $g(z)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$
- Parameters: Weights $\vec{w}$ and Bias $b$
- Features: $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and 1


- $g^{(1)}(\vec{x})=\left(h^{(1)}(\vec{x})=g^{(1)}\left(W^{(1)} \vec{x}+b^{(1)}\right)\right.$


## Neural Networks: towards the ensemble

- A neural network determines several independent linear regressions in parallel


The different neurons acting in parallel result in a vector of output values

- No need to decide ahead of time what these variables are going to predict


## What is Deep Learning

- It is a branch of machine learning based on a set of algorithms that attempt to model high-level abstractions in data by using multiple processing layer
- Learning representations of data
- feature hierarchies with features from higher levels of the hierarchy formed by the composition of lower level features


## From Machine Learning...

- Machine Learning in general works well because of human-designed features
- E.g. the so-called "Bag-of-Word" vector
- In this sense, machine learning is optimizing a set of parameters to obtain best performances
- a costly operation
- to be repeated for each new task



## ... to Deep Learning

- Representation Learning attempts at automatically learning the features (as well as the parameters)
- Deep Learning attempts at learning multiple levels (a hierarchy) of features of increasing complexity
- For example, in Face Detection
- A face can be composed by eyes, nose, mouth
- Each of them is composed from simpler shapes
- How to automatically learn these "features"?


Layer 3


Layer 2

Layer 1


## Al desiderata

- Ability to learn complex, highly-varying functions, i.e., with a number of variations much greater than the number of training examples.
- Ability to learn with little human input the low-level, intermediate, and highlevel abstractions that would be useful to represent the kind of complex functions needed for Al tasks.
- Ability to learn from a very large set of examples: computation time for training should scale well with the number of examples, i.e., close to linearly.
- Ability to learn from mostly unlabeled data, i.e., to work in the semisupervised setting, where not all the examples come with complete and correct semantic labels.
- Ability to exploit the synergies present across a large number of tasks, i.e., multi-task learning. These synergies exist because all the Al tasks provide different views on the same underlying reality.
- Strong unsupervised learning (i.e., capturing most of the statistical structure in the observed data), which seems essential in the limit of a large number of tasks and when future tasks are not known ahead of time.


## Basic Notation \& Formalisms

- Basic jargon:
- Vector spaces, inner products and Topology: Vector, Matrices and Tensors
- Training vs. Classification
- Forward step, backpropagation,
- Cost Function, Loss \& Regularization
- Input representation
- Dense vs. Discrete
- Embeddings
- Output format

- Tasks: classification aka labeling, autoencoding, encoding-decoding, stacking, multiple task learning


## Non linearity: the MLP

- In order to capture complex non linear functions with can apply a still linear model not to $\underline{x}$ itself but rather to one of its transformed form, e.g. $\Phi(\underline{\mathrm{x}})$
- Which mapping $\Phi$ :
- Exploit generic mathematical, domain-independent mappings (e.g. polynomial kernels or RBFs)
- Manually engineering $\Phi$
- Learn the proper $\Phi$ with respect to the task
- The result is a new form of the learning problem

$$
y=f(\underline{x} \cdot \theta, W)=W \cdot \Phi(\underline{x})+b
$$

## A simple MLP: the XOR function

| Input1 | Input2 | Output |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $\square$ |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | $\square$ |



## A MLP for the XOR problem




We can now specify our complete network as $f(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{w}, b)=\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \max \left\{0, \boldsymbol{W}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{c}\right\}+b$.

Figure 6.2: An example of a feedforward network, drawn in two different styles. Specifically, this is the feedforward network we use to solve the XOR example. It has a single hidden layer containing two units. (Left) In this style, we draw every unit as a node in the graph. This style is explicit and unambiguous, but for networks larger than this example, it can consume too much space. (Right) In this style, we draw a node in the graph for each entire vector representing a layer's activations. This style is much more compact. Sometimes we annotate the edges in this graph with the name of the parameters that describe the relationship between two layers. Here, we indicate that a matrix $\boldsymbol{W}$ describes the mapping from $\boldsymbol{x}$ to $\boldsymbol{h}$, and a vector $\boldsymbol{w}$ describes the mapping from $\boldsymbol{h}$ to $y$. We typically omit the intercept parameters associated with each layer when labeling this kind of drawing.

## The solution

## We can now specify our complete network as $f(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{w}, b)=\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \max \left\{0, \boldsymbol{W}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{c}\right\}+b$.

We can then specify a solution to the XOR problem. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{W} & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]  \tag{6.4}\\
\boldsymbol{c} & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
-1
\end{array}\right] \\
\boldsymbol{w} & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-2
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Rotating
Traslating
Scaling
and $b=0$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{X}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{W}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 \\
2 & 2
\end{array}\right] \quad \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{W}+\boldsymbol{c}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
\begin{array}{l}
\text { We can now specify our complete network as } \\
f(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{w}, b)=\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \max \left\{0, \boldsymbol{W}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{c}\right\}+b .
\end{array} \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\max \{0, \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{W}+\boldsymbol{c}\}+b . \quad\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## The new representation space



## An example in Keras

- See the XOR Keras example in the Jupiter Notebook made available on MS Teams


We will make use of the following NN structure
$y=\operatorname{Sigmoid}\left(W{ }^{\text {Sigmoid }}(W x+b)+c\right)$

To get started, import Sequential class from keras, which will create a linear stack of layers for us
Type Markdown and LaTeX: $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{2}$
In [1]: import numpy as np
from keras.models import Sequential
\#So ve have consistent results
np. random. seed (100)
model $=$ Sequential ()
Using TensorFlow backend.
The beauty of keras is that you can add layers to model with a simple add function
The Dense class in keras forms fully interconnected layers with pre-defined input/output dimensions
In [2]: from keras.layers.core import Dense, Activation
\# we have 2 input nodes
dim_input $=2$
\# we have a 2 hidden layer nodes
dim_hidden $=2$


## Perceptron (Rosenblatt, 1958)

- Linear Classifier mimicking a neuron



## Perceptron and non-linear activation functions

- We can adopt the sigmoid function instead of the $\operatorname{sgn}()$

$$
g(z)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}
$$

- to bound the final values between 0 and 1
- can be interpreted as probabilities of belonging to a class
- belonging threshold is " $>0.5$ "
- It remains a linear classifier

$$
h(\vec{x})=g\left(\sum_{n} \theta_{n} x_{n}+b\right)
$$




## How to induce $h$ from examples

- We need to Learn the parameters $\theta$ and $b$
- To find these we look at the past data (i.e. training data) optimizing an objective function
- Objective function: the error we make on the training data
- the sum of differences between the decision function $h$ and the label $y$
- also called Loss Function or Cost Function

$$
J(\theta, b)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(h\left(x^{(i)} ; \theta, b\right)-y^{(i)}\right)^{2}
$$






Target: 1.0

Going forward (Regularization, Dropout, Batch Normalization, ...)


hidden layer 1 hidden layer 2
Updating the weights using Backpropagation $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_{j}^{(i)}}$



## A general training procedure: Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Optimizing $J$ means minimizing it
- it measures the errors we make on the training data.
- We can iterate over examples and update the parameters of the function in the direction of smaller costs
- we aim at finding the minimum of that function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\theta_{1}=\theta_{1}-\alpha \Delta \theta_{1} \quad h(\vec{x})=g(\vec{x} ; \vec{\theta}, b)=g\left(\sum_{n} \theta_{n} x_{n}+b\right)\right) \\
& \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

- Concretely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{n}=\theta_{n}-\alpha \Delta \theta_{n} \\
& b=b-\alpha \Delta b
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\alpha$ is a meta-parameter, the learning rate
- $\Delta$ are the partial derivatives of the cost function wrt each parameter


## Optimizing J

- From the network

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(\vec{x}) & \left.=g^{(k)}\left(g^{(k-1)}\left(\ldots g^{(1)}\left(\vec{x} ; \vec{\theta}^{(1)}, b^{(1)}\right) ; \ldots\right) ; \vec{\theta}^{(k-1)}, b^{(k-1)}\right) ; \vec{\theta}^{(k)}, b^{(k)}\right)= \\
& =g^{(k)}\left(W^{(k)} g^{(k-1)}\left(W^{(k-1)} \ldots g^{(1)}\left(W^{(1)} \vec{x}+b^{(1)}\right) \ldots+b^{(k-1)}\right)+b^{(k)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- and $j$-th layers equation:

$$
h^{(j)}(\vec{x})=g^{(j)}\left(W^{(j)} g^{(j-1)}\left(\vec{x} ; \vec{\theta}^{(j-1)}, b^{(j-1)}\right)+b^{(j)}\right) \quad j=2, \ldots ., k-1
$$



## Optimizing J ... backwards



## Why SGD?

- Weights are updated using the partial derivatives
- Derivative pushes down the cost following the steepest descent path on the error curve



## SGD procedure

- Choose an initial random values for $\theta$ and $b$
- Choose a learning rate
- Repeat until stop criterion is met:
- Pick a random training example $x^{(i)}$
- Update the parameters with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{1}=\theta_{1}-\alpha \Delta \theta_{1} \\
& \ldots \\
& \theta_{n}=\theta_{n}-\alpha \Delta \theta_{n} \\
& b=b-\alpha \Delta b
\end{aligned}
$$

- We can stop WHEN
- when the parameters do not change (minimum has been reached) or,
- the number of iteration exceeds a certain upper bound


## Cost Function Derivative

- In order to update the parameters in SGD, we need to compute the partial derivatives wrt the learnable parameters.
- Remember the chain rule:
- if $J$ is a function of a given function $z(x)$, then the derivative of $J$ wrt $x$ is:

$$
\frac{\vartheta J}{\vartheta x}=\frac{\vartheta J}{\vartheta z} \frac{\vartheta_{z}}{\vartheta x}
$$

- Thus (in $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ), we need to compute
- for the $i$-th example $x^{(i)}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \theta_{1} & =\frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta \theta_{1}}\left(h\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}, b\right)-y^{(i)}\right)^{2} \\
\Delta \theta_{2} & =\frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta \theta_{2}}\left(h\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}, b\right)-y^{(i)}\right)^{2} \\
\Delta b & =\frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta b}\left(h\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}, b\right)-y^{(i)}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cost Function Derivatives (in $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ )

$$
\begin{array}{llr}
\begin{array}{rlr}
\Delta \theta_{1} & =\frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta \theta_{1}}\left(h\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}, b\right)-y^{(i)}\right)^{2}= & \\
& =2\left(\left(h\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}, b\right)-y^{(i)}\right) \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta \theta_{1}}\left(h\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(\boldsymbol{i})} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}, b\right)\right)\right. & g(z)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-z}} \\
& =2\left(g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}^{(\boldsymbol{i})}+b\right)-y^{(i)}\right) \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta \theta_{1}}\left(g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}+b\right)\right) & \frac{\vartheta g}{\vartheta z}=(1-g(z)) g(z)
\end{array} \\
\text { We have that: }
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta \theta_{1}}\left(g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}+b\right)\right)=\frac{\vartheta g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}+b\right)}{\vartheta\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}+b\right)} \frac{\vartheta\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}+b\right)}{\vartheta \theta_{1}}= \\
& =\left(1-g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}+b\right)\right) g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}+b\right) \frac{\vartheta\left(\theta_{1} x_{1}+\theta_{2} x_{2}+b\right)}{\vartheta \theta_{1}}= \\
& =\left(1-g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}+b\right)\right) g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}+b\right) x_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
s(x)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} \text { then } \frac{\vartheta s}{\vartheta x}=(1-s(x)) s(x)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d x} s(x)=\frac{d}{d x}\left(\left(1+e^{-x}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& \frac{d}{d x} s(x)=-1\left(\left(1+e^{-x}\right)^{(-1-1)}\right) \frac{d}{d x}\left(1+e^{-x}\right) \\
& \frac{d}{d x} s(x)=-1\left(\left(1+e^{-x}\right)^{(-2)}\right)\left(\frac{d}{d x}(1)+\frac{d}{d x}\left(e^{-x}\right)\right) \\
& \frac{d}{d x} s(x)=-1\left(\left(1+e^{-x}\right)^{(-2)}\right)\left(0+e^{-x}\left(\frac{d}{d x}(-x)\right)\right) \\
& \frac{d}{d x} s(x)=-1\left(\left(1+e^{-x}\right)^{(-2)}\right)\left(e^{-x}\right)(-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{d}{d x} s(x)=\left(\left(1+e^{-x}\right)^{(-2)}\right)\left(e^{-x}\right)
$$

$$
\frac{d}{d x} s(x)=\frac{1}{\left(1+e^{-x}\right)^{2}}\left(e^{-x}\right)
$$

$$
\frac{d}{d x} s(x)=\frac{e^{-x}}{\left(1+e^{-x}\right)^{2}}=\frac{e^{-x}}{\left(1+e^{-x}\right)}-\frac{1}{\left(1+e^{-x}\right)}
$$

## Cost Function Derivatives

Then,

$$
\Delta \theta_{1}=2\left[\left(g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}+b\right)-y^{(i)}\right)\right]\left[\left(1-g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}+b\right)\right) g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}+b\right) x^{(i)}{ }_{1}\right]
$$

and we can do the same for $\theta_{2}$

$$
\Delta \theta_{2}=2\left[\left(g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}+b\right)-y^{(i)}\right)\right]\left[\left(1-g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}+b\right)\right) g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}^{(\boldsymbol{i})}+b\right) x^{(i)}{ }_{2}\right]
$$



## Cost Function Derivatives for b

- For the $b$ parameter, the same steps apply:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta b=\frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta b}\left(h\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}, b\right)-y^{(i)}\right)^{2}= \\
& =2\left(\left(h\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}, b\right)-y^{(i)}\right) \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta b}\left(h\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}, b\right)\right)\right. \\
& =2\left(g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}+b\right)-y^{(i)}\right) \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta b}\left(g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}+b\right)\right) \\
& \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta b}\left(g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}+b\right)\right)=\frac{\vartheta g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}+b\right)}{\vartheta\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}+b\right)} \frac{\vartheta\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}+b\right)}{\vartheta b}=
\end{aligned}
$$


$h(x)$
$\left(1-g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}+b\right)\right) g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}+b\right)$
$\Delta b=2\left[\left(g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}+b\right)-y^{(i)}\right)\right]\left[\left(1-g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}+b\right)\right) g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}+b\right)\right]$

## Learning rate: low values



- make the algorithm converge slowly
- it is a conservative and safer choice
- However, it implies very long training

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{1}=\theta_{1}-\alpha \Delta \theta_{1} \\
& \theta_{2}=\theta_{2}-\alpha \Delta \theta_{2} \\
& b=b-\alpha \Delta b
\end{aligned}
$$

## Learning rate: high values



- make the algorithm converge quickly
- Training time is reduced
- it is a a less safer choice
- risk of divergence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{1}=\theta_{1}-\alpha \Delta \theta_{1} \\
& \theta_{2}=\theta_{2}-\alpha \Delta \theta_{2} \\
& b=b-\alpha \Delta b
\end{aligned}
$$

## Multilayer Networks

- Each circle represent a neuron (or unit)
- 3 inputs, 3 hidden and 1 output
- $n_{1}=3$ is the number of layers
- $s_{1}$ denotes the number of units in layer 1
- Layers:
- Layer 1 is denoted as $\mathrm{L}_{1}$
- Layer l and l+1 are connected by a matrix of parameters $\mathrm{W}^{(1)}$
- $\mathrm{W}^{(\mathrm{I})}{ }_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}$ connects neuron j in layer l with neuron $i$ in layer l+1
- $\mathrm{b}^{(1)}$ is the bias associated to neuron $i$ in layer l+1
input layer



## Multilayer Networks cont.

- $h^{(I)}$ is the activation of unit i in layer l
input layer
hidden layer

- $g$ is a non-linearity function
- e.g. the sigmoid


## Multilayer Network Classification

- The classification corresponds in getting the value(s) in the output layer
- Propagating the input towards the network given $W, b$
- This process is called forward propagation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z^{(l+1)}=W^{(l)} h^{(l)}+b^{(l)} \\
& h^{(l+1)}=g\left(z^{(l+1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

input layer


## How to Train a NN?

- We can re-use the gradient descent algorithm
- define a cost function
- compute the partial derivatives wrt to all the parameters
- As the NN models function composition
- we are going to exploit the chain rule (again)
- Setup:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(z(x)) \\
& \frac{\vartheta h}{\vartheta x}=\frac{\vartheta h}{\vartheta z} \frac{\vartheta z}{\vartheta x}
\end{aligned}
$$

- we have a training set of $m$ examples
- $\left\{\left(X^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\right), \ldots,\left(X^{(m)}, y^{(m)}\right)\right\}$
- $x$ are the inputs and $y$ are the labels


## Cost Function of a NN

- Given a single training example $(x, y)$ the cost is

$$
J(W, b ; x, y)=\frac{1}{2}\left|h_{W, b}(x)-y\right|^{2}
$$

- For the whole training set $J$ is the mean of the errors plus a regularization term (weight decay)

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(W, b) & =\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} J\left(W, b ; \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}\right)+\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{l}-1} \sum_{i=1}^{s_{l}} \sum_{j=1}^{s_{l+1}}\left(W_{j i}^{(l)}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|h_{W, b}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}\right)-y^{(i)}\right|^{2}\right)+\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{l}-1} \sum_{i=1}^{s_{l}} \sum_{j=1}^{s_{l+1}}\left(W_{j i}^{(l)}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\lambda$ controls the importance of the two terms (it has a similar role to the C parameter in SVM)


## ... digression: On regularization

- "any modification we make to a learning algorithm that is intended to reduce its generalization error but not its training error."
- In practical deep learning scenarios: the best fitting model (in the sense of minimizing generalization error) is a large model that has been regularized appropriately
- Many regularization approaches are based on limiting the capacity of models, such as neural networks, linear regression, or logistic regression, by adding a parameter norm penalty $\Omega(\theta)$ to the objective function J
- Regularization methods:
- Weight decay (ridge regression)
- ... Constrained optimization
- Data Augmentation
- Early stopping


## A GD step

- A GD step update the parameters according to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{i j}^{(l)}=W_{i j}^{(l)}-\alpha \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta W_{i j}^{(l)}} J(W, b) \\
& b_{i}^{(l)}=b_{i}^{(l)}-\alpha \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta b_{i}^{(l)}} J(W, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

- where $\alpha$ is the learning rate.
- The partial derivatives are computed with the Backpropagation algorithm


## The backpropagation algorithm

- First, we compute for each example $\frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta W_{i j}^{(l)}} J\left(W, b, \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}\right)$
- Backpropagation works as follow:

1. do a forward pass for an example: $\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}$
2. for each node i in layer 1 , compute an error term $\delta_{\mathrm{i}}^{1}$
3. it measures how unit i is responsible for the error on the current example
4. The error of an output node is the difference between the true output value and the predicted one
5. For the intermediate layer l, a node receives a portion of the error based on the units it is linked to of the layer l+1

- Partial derivatives will be computed given the error terms


## The backpropagation algorithm cont.

1. Perform a forward propagation for an example
2. For each unit $i$ in the output layer $\left(n_{l}\right)$

$$
\delta_{i}^{\left(n_{l}\right)}=\frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta z_{i}^{\left(n_{l}\right)}}\left|\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{b}}(\boldsymbol{x})\right|^{2}=-\left(y_{i}-h_{i}^{\left(n_{l}\right)}\right) \cdot g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}^{\left(n_{l}\right)}\right)
$$

3. For $l=n_{l}-1, \ldots, 2$
4. for each node $i$ in layer $I \quad \delta_{i}^{(i)}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s_{1+1}} w_{i i}^{(l)} \delta_{j}^{(l+1)}\right) g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}^{(l)}\right)$
5. Compute the partial derivatives as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta W_{i j}^{(l)}} J(W, b ; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=h_{j}^{(l)} \delta_{i}^{(l+1)} \\
& \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta b_{i}^{(l)}} J(W, b ; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\delta_{i}^{(l+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Some considerations

- Randomly initialize the parameters of the network
- for symmetry breaking
- Remember that the function $g$ is a non-linear activation function
- if $g$ is the sigmoid

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(z)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-z}} \\
& g^{\prime}(z)=(1-g(z)) g(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Activations values can be cached from the forward propagation step!

$$
g^{\prime}\left(z_{i}^{(l)}\right)=\left(1-g\left(z_{i}^{(l)}\right)\right) g\left(z_{i}^{(l)}\right)=\left(1-h_{i}^{(l)}\right) h_{i}^{(l)}
$$

- If you must perform multi-classification
- there will be an output unit for each of the labels


## Some considerations (2)

- How to stop and select the best model?
- Waiting the iteration in which the cost function doesn't change significantly
- Risk of overfitting
- Early stopping

- Provide hints as to how many iterations can be run before overfitting
- Split the original training set into a new training set and a validation set
- Train only on the training set and evaluate the error on the validation set
- Stop training as soon as the error is higher than it was the last time
- Use the weights the network had in that previous step

Dropout (Svrivastava et al., 2014)

- During training (only) randomly "turn off" some of the neurons of a layer
- Dropout can be interpreted as a way of regularizing a neural network by adding noise to its hidden units.
- It can be applied to individual steps or in averaging mode
- it prevents co-adaptation of units between layers


## Dropout (Svrivastava et al., 2014)

- Dropout can be interpreted as a way of regularizing a neural network by adding noise to its hidden units.
- It speeds-up the learning algorithm through model averaging
- It helps in reducing the risk of greedily promote simplistic solutions
- It can be applied to individual steps or in averaging mode

Randomly setting a fraction rate of input units to $o$ at each update during training time.

(a) Standard Neural Net

(b) After applying dropout.

## Dropout (Svrivastava et al., 2014)

- Dropout can be interpreted as a way of regularizing a neural network by adding noise to its hidden units.
- It speeds-up the learning algorithm through model averaging
- It helps in reducing the risk of greedily promote simplistic solutions
- It can be applied to individual steps or in averaging mode

Randomly setting a fraction rate of input units to $o$ at each update during training time.

(a) Standard network

(b) Dropout network

## Dropout: effects

- Drop-out effects in a speech-recognition task


Fig. 2: The frame classification error rate on the core test set of the TIMIT benchmark. Comparison of standard and dropout finetuning for different network architectures. Dropout of 50\% of the hidden units and $20 \%$ of the input units improves classification.

## Dropout: effects

Fic
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Fig. 7: Classification error rate on the (a) training and (b) validation sets of the Reuters dataset as learning progresses. The training error is computed using the stochastic nets.

## Next steps ... complex NN architectures

- Convolutional Neural Networks (Neocogitron, Fukushima (1980))
- Recurrent Neural Networks (Jordan, 1986), (Elman, 1990)
- Bidirectional RNNs (Schuster and Paliwal, 1997)
- BP Through-Time (Robinson \& Fallside, 1987)
- Long Short Time Memories LSTMS, (Hochreiter \& Schmidhuber, 1997)
- Attention mechanisms (firstly discussed by (Larochelle \& Hinton, 2010; Denil et al., 2012)).
- Autoencoders (Bengio et al., 2007), Encoder-Decoders (Cho et al., 2015)
- Attention and Trasformers (A. Vaswani et al., 2017)
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